

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/017,893 | 12/12/2001 | Anthony Cadiente | SMBRP001 | 9942 | |
| 22434 | 7590 12/29/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP | | | MOY, JOSEPH MAN | | |
| P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3727 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/20/200 | DATE MAIL ED: 12/20/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/017,893 | CADIENTE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joseph Moy | 3727 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 | September 2004. | • | | | | |
| , | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | _ | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-37,44,49,51,60 as</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>38-43,45-48,50,52-59,61-62 and 64</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | nd 63 is/are withdrawn from conside 1-82 is/are rejected. | leration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | - | | | | | |
| 1) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Serial Number: 10/017,893

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant's election of the invention II, claims 38-43,45-48,50,52-59,61-62, and 64-82 without traverse has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-37,44,49, 51,60 and 63 have been withdrawn from consideration as drawn to an non- elected invention

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-43,45-48,50,52-59,61-62 and 64-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slagel or McCluney in view of Paine, Jr. and Warnecke et al. It would have been obvious to provide the container and the lid of Slagel or McCluney with a latching means as shown by Warnecke et al in order to secure the lid to the container. If desired, to provide the container with extra holes in the wall as shown by Paine, Jr. would also have been obvious in order to speed up the venting process. Since the scope of these claim are directed to the container and the lid per se, the intended use statement does not provide any patentable structure defined over the structures of the references. Moreover, the container and the lid of the references are capable to permit an operator to perform the intended function as claimed.

The terminal disclaimer has overcome the obvious type of double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (571)272-4543.

12/16/04

Joseph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner